

# DG Environment insights on emissions and air quality

Zlatko Kregar, European Commission, DG Environment, Clean Air Unit

ERMES plenary meeting Thessaloniki, 15<sup>th</sup> May 2019



#### **EU Clean Air Policy – The policy framework**



#### **Air Quality Directives**

Maximum concentrations of air polluting substances

#### **CONCENTRATIONS**

#### **EMISSIONS**



### National Emission Ceilings Directive

National emission totals (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, VOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>)

### Source-specific emission standards

- IED Directive
- MCP Directive
- Eco-design Directive
- Energy efficiency
- Euro and fuel standards



- Fitness check of the Ambient Air Quality Directives
- Post-Euro 6/VI emission standards development
- DG Environment and ERMES



#### EU air quality standards to protect human health

Pollutants	WHO Guidelines	EU Standards	EU "Exceptions"	Selected Others
PM <sub>10</sub> (annual)	20 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	-	CH:20; NO:25 US: 50; CN: 40/70
PM <sub>10</sub> (daily)	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	(35d a year)	CH: 50 (3d); NO: 50 (30d); AUS: 50 (5d); US: 150 (1d)
PM <sub>2.5</sub> (annual)	10 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	-	AUS: 8; CH: 10; CAN: 10 US: 12; NO: 15; JP: 15
PM <sub>2.5</sub> (daily)	25 μg/m³	-	-	AUS: 25; CAN: 28; US: 35 (6d)
NO <sub>2</sub> (annual)	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	-	CH: 30; CAN: 32; CN:40; AUS: 57; US: 100 (SE:20)
NO <sub>2</sub> (hourly)	200 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	200 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	(18d a year)	CAN: 115; US: 190 (2%); CN:200; AUS: 230 (1d)
SO <sub>2</sub> (daily)	20 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	125 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	(3 days a year)	AUS: 80; CH:100 (1d); CN: 50/150
SO <sub>2</sub> (10m/hourly)	500 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	350 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	(24 hours a year)	US: 200 (1%); NZ: 350 (9h) AUS: 530 (1d)
O <sub>3</sub> (8-hour mean)	100 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	(TV) 120 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	(75d in 3 years)	UK: 100 (10d); CAN: 126; US: 140
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0.12 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	(TV) 1 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	-	NO: 0.1; SE: 0.1; CN: 1
CO (8-hour mean)	10 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	10 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	-	CH: 8 (1d); US: 10; NZ: 10; CN: 10



#### Clean air for all ... continued enforcement action

Compliance gap persists – see COM (2018) 330 'Cleaner Air for All'

Regarding NO2: 17 Member States with exceedances in 2017 (more than 130 cities); 14 Member States are facing infringement actions.

Regarding PM10: 15 Member States with exceedances in 2017; 15 Member States are facing infringement actions; two cases have been decided by the Court.

Regarding SO2: 2 Member States with exceedances in 2017; 1 infringement ongoing.

In addition, 2 infringement cases related specifically to monitoring and reporting shortcomings, plus other cases that also address monitoring.



#### Fitness check: Ambient Air Quality Directives

**Scope:** Evidence-based analysis of whether EU actions are fit for purpose, and

identify regulatory burdens, overlaps, gaps, inconsistencies

>>> started in mid-2017 - to be finalized by end of 2019 <<<

**Evidence:** Literature review: scientific peer-reviewed as well as other reports

Air quality data as reported over the period 2008 to 2018 to EEA

General stakeholder consultation (incl. Online PC and 2 workshops)

Targeted stakeholder consultation (incl. questionnaires and interviews)

Seven focus case studies (in BG, DE, ES, IE, IT, SE, SK)

Desk review of EU and national legislation, as relevant

Purpose: Retrospective exercise; looking at period 2008 to 2018

Criteria: Relevance, Coherence, Effectiveness, Efficiency, EU Value Added



#### Some concluding reflections on Air Quality

COM(2018)330 emphasizes urgent need to improve air quality through **full implementation** of air quality standards – for now, compliance gaps remain.

Reducing air pollution effectively requires **close cooperation** between different societal actors and across governance levels (EU, national, regional, local).

The European Commission continues to **support implementation** by Member States – such as via Clean Air Dialogues, or via funding opportunities.

With the on-going Fitness Check we are seeking to understand what works well, and what could work better: whether the Directives are fit for purpose.





## Stakeholder event on future emission standards



- Took place in Brussels on the 24<sup>th</sup> October 2018 with the participation of more than 120 experts
- Preceded by a meeting of academic experts

All presentations are available on the link:

http://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/stakeholder-event-preparing-future-european-emission-standards-light-and-heavy-duty-vehicles en



#### **Broad list of issues**

- In use performance monitoring for compliance and enforcement over the lifetime of the vehicle
- ➤ Pollutant emissions to be considered along with CO2/GHG emissions
- Currently non-regulated emissions should also be considered



#### In use performance monitoring

- Emphasis on continuous emission monitoring, but care should be taken on privacy rules
- Link regulations with impacts: towards averages and (lifetime) totals, and reducing risks
- > Substantial increase in the durability requirements, including Market Surveillance and In-Service Conformity requirements
- Closer links with On-Board Diagnostics and the developments therein. Regulatory emphasis and signaling for further exhaust emission sensor development.
- Modelling and cloud monitoring should also be accounted for improved accuracy and performance



#### Non-regulated emissions

- > Sub 23 nm particles and total (i.e. solid and volatile) particles
- ➤ NH3 Ammonia (hazardous, PM precursor, significant contributor to the formation of Secondary Organic Aerosols)
- HNCO (isocyanic acid)
- ➤ NO2 Less direct NO2 helpful to reduce exposure Maybe sufficient to be reported for AQ modelling purposes
- N2O Nitrous oxide (High GHG potential, ozone depleting substance)
- CH4 Methane (High GHG potential)
- PAHs polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and Aldehydes
- Brake, tire, and road wear emissions: particle sizes and composition.



## Pollutant emissions to be considered along with CO<sub>2</sub>/GHG emissions

- Help address the question: How much emission control needed and what expense on fuel consumption is acceptable?
- Air and climate pollutants should not be dealt separately
- No separate standards for different types of fuels and or engines
- Address non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions
- Energy consumption and CO2 emissions in normal use, including lights, auxiliaries, winter tires, options, deterioration, etc.



#### **Additional topics**

- Fuel and technology neutral regulations and emission standards
- Intelligent geofencing
- Investigate if and how Remote Sensing can complement the existing regulatory arsenal
- Investigate if OBD is still necessary in the emissions regulation or it is only a duty of the OEM towards its clients
- Evaporation losses: to further investigate for fuel neutrality and running losses



#### **Further steps**

- Two big studies in 2019-2020 to address the issues identified
- Legislative process in Europe can be long. At least two years from the proposal to the final rule should be estimated.
- Lead time for the industry to adapt its products

In the meantime RDE regulations brought a significant improvement in car emissions in Europe



#### **ERMES future ...and DG Environment?**

- Cutting edge research for emission factor development that ERMES brings links very much with both the AQ and national emissions policy framework of DG Environment
- ➤ We can explore ways to strengthen the collaboration between ERMES and the Commission
- For this we are ready to listen for your feedback



## Thank you for your attention!

zlatko.kregar@ec.europa.eu