

(Unedited) **DRAFT CONCLUSIONS OF THE 23rd MEETING OF TFEIP**

(Larnaca, 10-11 May 2010)

(To be presented to the EMEP Steering Body at its 34th session September 2010)

The TFEIP held its 23rd meeting, and 11th joint meeting with the EEA's EIONET, in Cyprus. The meeting was attended by approximately 100 participants.

Previous TFEIP meetings have focused on completing the major update to the EMEP/EEA Emissions Inventory Guidebook. This meeting was able to focus on looking ahead, and planning for upcoming issues.

The Task Force:

Information Updates

- (a) Following information on recent meetings, the TFEIP agreed to seek ways of contributing to the new Ad Hoc group on Black Carbon, and decided that, despite changes to Protocols, the current NFR reporting template does not require any changes;
- (b) Discussed the changes to the Secretariat support, agreed that their attendance at TFEIP meetings in the past has been very valuable, and expressed its wish for Secretariat support to continue in the future;

2010 Emissions Reporting and Review

- (c) Were pleased to note that reporting of emissions continues to slowly improve (as presented by CEIP). The Task Force also agreed the importance of providing high-quality gridded emissions data, particularly in the light of suggestions that higher resolution data may be requested in the future for use by the EMEP centres, and that this topic be included as a subject for future work and capacity building activities with Parties in 2011;
- (d) Following an assessment by the EEA's European Topic Centre on Air and Climate Change (ETC-ACC), the TFEIP reminded Parties that using the "Not Estimated" notation key where there is expected to be a significant source of emissions is not good practise.
- (e) Noted the continued shortage of expert reviewers, and that one Party scheduled for review in June (Russian Federation) had not submitted an emission inventory or Informative Inventory Report by the time of the TFEIP meeting.

Recent Research Projects & Emission Inventory Developments

- (f) Welcomed the following presentations as being of value for contributing to further inventory development:
 - 35 years of Emission Trends in Europe, European Commission Joint Research Centre;
 - COPERT4 Road Transport Model Uncertainty Analysis, ETC-ACC;

- The New Emissions Inventory System in Luxembourg;
- An in-kind contribution from Belarus, on Tier 3 estimates for specific Industrial Emissions;
- The Cyprus Emissions Inventory;
- Mapping Diffuse E-PRTR Sources, Germany.

The EMEP/EEA Emissions Inventory Guidebook

(g) Following a presentation from the Netherlands, were reminded that some sources estimated by Parties are not included in the Guidebook. Parties were encouraged to submit information from their national inventories, to allow improved completeness of the Guidebook;

(h) Agreed to a proposed process for updating the Guidebook which included substantial updates every three years, and that this should be proposed to the EMEP SB. The TFEIP also discussed limitations on the work levels that can be undertaken, and Parties were encouraged to seek ways in which they could provide support;

(i) Reviewed the following revised chapters of EMEP/EEA Emissions Inventory Guidebook, and recommended to the EMEP Steering Body their endorsement:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1.A.1 Energy industries | 1.A.3.b Road transport |
| 1.A.3.d Navigation | 1.A.4 Other mobile |
| 1.B.2.a.i, and 1.B.2.b Exploration, production and Natural gas | |
| 1.B.2.a.iv Refining, storage | 1.B.2.a.v Distribution of oil products |

(j) Encouraged Parties to submit data to the Emissions Factor Library being created by Finland, and agreed that this was a very useful resource for inventory compilers.

Informative Inventory Reports

(k) In accordance with the workplan, held a session dedicated to Informative Inventory Reports, and heard examples of good practise from Sweden and an innovative Wiki approach from Germany. The ETC-ACC highlighted best examples of different report criteria e.g. comprehensiveness, innovation and presentation.

The Task Force and EEA EIONET:

(l) Following a presentation from the ETC-ACC, encouraged parties to ensure consistent reporting between the commitments under the LRTAP and the E-PRTR.

(m) Described to Parties the new gap-filling procedures trialled in the 2010 EU LRTAP Convention inventory, presented by the ETC-ACC.

Presentations from EECCA Countries

The Task Force:

- (n) In accordance with the workplan, discussed the barriers to reporting that exist for EECCA countries, presented by the Russian Federation and Kazakhstan. The TFEIP agreed to circulate the informal feedback collected from the EECCA countries as a report;
- (o) Agreed to support the objectives of improving reporting from EECCA countries through the creation of a support network (which might act as a forum for technical experts, oversee translation of documents into Russian, help to co-ordinate capacity building etc.).
- (p) Agreed to investigate ways of contributing to a launch of the Russian translation of the Guidebook in 2011 and supporting further the improvement of inventories in EECCA countries.

Work plan 2010-2011

- (q) Discussed and agreed the main activities planned for (the remainder of 2010 and) 2011, to be included in the workplan, which would be approved by the EMEP Steering Body in September 2010. In particular;
- the activities in the areas of Combustion and Industry, Transport, Agriculture and Nature, and Projections;
 - research topics to be included in the Guidebook Maintenance Plan;
 - a future TFEIP workshop/session on mapping and gridding emission estimates in preparation for Parties' mandatory reporting of gridded data in 2012.
- (r) Agreed to hold its next meeting in Stockholm, in Spring 2011 (provisionally scheduled for early May) .

Other Issues

- (s) Agreed to provide ad hoc support to the Gothenburg Protocol revision. It was proposed that this be done by contributing directly to the Working Group on Strategies and Review.

Thanks

- (t) The Task Force expressed its appreciation to the Ministry of Labour and Social Insurance, Cyprus for hosting the meeting, and thanked Norway, the UK and the EEA for providing financial support to Russian, Kazakhstan and EIONET country representatives respectively.
- (u) The Task Force also thanked Norway for providing the funds for translating the Guidebook, and Denmark and industry experts (from CONCAWE) for their contributions to the Guidebook.
- (v) The Task Force thanked the stage-3 review teams, who were from the EC, Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Sweden, United Kingdom, for participating in the 2009 reviews;